



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENT
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

Paper No. 8

Patent Administrator
KATTEN MUCHIN ZAVIS ROSENMAN
525 West Monroe Street
Suite 1600
Chicago, IL 60661-3693

MAY 21 2003

In re Application of:	:	
SCHUTZBACH <i>et al.</i>	:	DECISION ON PETITION
Application No. 10/092,950	:	TO MAKE SPECIAL
Filed: March 08, 2002	:	
Attorney Docket No.: 215185.00101	:	

This is a decision on the petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.102(c), filed April 17, 2003, to make the above-identified application special under the accelerated examination procedure set forth in the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (M.P.E.P.), § 708.02, Item II: Infringement.

The petition is **dismissed**.

A grantable petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.102(d), and in accordance with M.P.E.P. § 708.02, Item II, must be accompanied by the required fee pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(h), and a statement by the applicant, assignee, or an attorney/agent registered to practice before the Office alleging:

- (1) that there is an infringing device or product actually on the market or method in use;
- (2) that a rigid comparison of the alleged infringing device, product or method with the claims of the application was made, and that, in his or her opinion, some of the claims are unquestionably infringed; and
- (3) that he or she has made or caused to be made a careful and thorough search of the prior art or has a good knowledge of the pertinent prior art.

Applicant must also provide one copy of each of the references deemed most closely related to the subject matter encompassed by the claims if said references are not already of record.

The petition and accompanying verified statement do not comply with the above requirements for special status inasmuch as the statement provided does not state that there is an infringing device or product actually on the market or method in use, and that a **rigid comparison** of

the alleged infringing device, product or method with the claims of the application was made, and that, in his or her opinion, some of the claims are **unquestionably** infringed.

Accordingly, the petition is **dismissed**.

Should petitioner desire reconsideration, petitioner should supplement this petition by a statement providing the information as outlined above. No further petition fee is required.

Any request for reconsideration must be filed within TWO MONTHS of the date of this decision.

Inquiries regarding this decision should be directed to Clayton E. LaBalle at (703) 308-0519.



Clayton E. LaBalle, Special Programs Examiner
Technology Center 2800
Semiconductors, Electrical and Optical
Systems and Components